



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/611,564 | 07/01/2003 | Kenneth R. Hall | 017575.0854 | 5969 |
| 23640 | 7590 11/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BAKER BOTTS, LLP | | | PARSA, JAFAR F | |
| 910 LOUISIA HOUSTON, T | na ΓΧ 77002-4995 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · | | | 1621 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/611,564 | HALL ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jafar Parsa | 1621 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the c | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J | lulv 2003 | | | | | |
| • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | - r | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | 4 | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | a. | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/18/2004. | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | · - · · | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/611,564

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, line 1, after the word "olefin" it appears to be a period. The same correction is required in claim 15.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of U.S. Patent No. 6602920, claims1-36 of US patent No. 6,323,247 and claims 1-54 of US patent No 6,130,260. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claimed invention is directed to a method for converting natural gas to an olefin, comprising: a) providing a stream of natural gas; b) separating the natural

Application/Control Number: 10/611,564

Art Unit: 1621

gas stream into a feed stream and a burn stream; c) conveying the feed stream and burn stream to a furnace wherein the burn stream is burned and wherein the feed stream is heated to form hydrogen and reactive products comprising an acetylene portion; d) quenching the reactive products and hydrogen; and e) conveying the reactive products to a catalytic reactor and providing hydrogen and a catalyst in the reactor such that the reactive products are converted to the olefin.

The US patents cited above disclose basically the same process steps as recited in the instant claimed invention. The difference between US patents cited above and the claimed invention is that the instant claims are directed to a process for preparing olefins, whereas the references cited above are directed to a process for preparing liquid hydrocarbons which encompasses olefin with the exception of claim 17 of US patent No. 6,602,920 which is directed to a process for preparing ethylene which anticipates the instant claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jafar Parsa whose telephone number is (571)272-0643. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m.-4:30 p.m. (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571)272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,564

Art Unit: 1621

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jafar Parsa

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1621

J. PARSA PRIMARY EXAMINER

JP November 13, 2005